

## **Addendum**

At least one error upon which reissue is based is described as follows:

That I verily believe the original to be partly inoperative by reason of omitting the following claim that the patentees had the right to claim in the issued patent, which claims more than issued patent in at least one claim limitation:

9. (New Claim) A coil system for use with an implantable prosthesis and an external transmitter, the system comprising:

at least one external coil attached to the external transmitter;

at least one internal coil attached to the implantable prosthesis, the internal coil for transferring signals with the external coil; and

an internal magnet attached to the implantable prosthesis, the internal magnet for aligning the external transmitter, the internal magnet capable of aligning with an external magnetic field so as to reduce torque exerted by the external magnetic field.

One of the errors which is being relied upon to support the reissue application, as required by 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1), is that claim 1 requires, in part, an "anti-parallel coil." Applicants have subsequently appreciated that the requirement in claim 1 for an "anti-parallel" coil is not necessary to patentability of the claim, at least if an internal magnet capable of aligning with an external field is used. Thus the limitations relating to the antiparallel coil have been removed in claim 9.